



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_8769
Title of the Manuscript:	Fungicide Selection and Application Timing for Management of Peanut Pod Rot
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION: 1. Author(s) need to highlight the importance of the crop under study to the economic development of the region in question. 2. The references cited were not chronological. Author(s) need to cite their references in a chronological manner starting with 1 and so on. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: 1. Line 109: Should start with "Test year was....."	INTRODUCTION LINES 18-24 included information on economic importance of the crop and disease in question LINE 25: changed several to numerous LINES 44-46: provided information on to costs associated with control of pod rot. LINES 50-51: information to support fungicide use LINES 53-54: supporting information for fungicide use LINES 55-56: information supporting novelty of this research LINE 58: adapted statement about initial fungicide applications LINES 59-61: information to support novelty of this research



SDI Review Form 1.6

		LINES 61-63: subtle changes to allow sentences to read more clearly LINE 118: changed Year to test year as suggested by reviewer LINE 186: replaced West with west LINE 206 (References) All references organized in chronological order, corrected throughout the manuscript, and confirmed to confirm with author instructions
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		